



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/502,701	02/11/2000	Philip F. Fox	F351-12.0001	5544
7590 03/08/2006			EXAMINER	
Philip F. Fox			ROWAN, KURT C	
10985 40th Place Plymouth, MN 55441			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
- -			3643	

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/502,701	FOX, PHILIP F.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kurt Rowan	3643				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.11 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 D	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 December 2005</u> .					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 1-18 and 23-43 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19 and 44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 20-22 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 09/502,701 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolniak for substantially the same reasons stated in the last Office Action.
- 3. Wolniak shows a plurality of elongate shells 30each having an interior surface that defines an elongate cavity. The lure as shown in Fig. 3 is ice fishing tackle which is positioned within the elongate cavity. Wolniak shows layers 21 and 26 of a panel of floatation material to hold the elongate tubes 30 in position. At least two of the elongate shells are capable of serving as legs that will stably support the apparatus on a surface when the at least two elongate shells are positioned in contact with the surface with the spacing structure effective to prevent slippage of the at least two elongate shells with respect to the spacing structure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/502,701 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

5. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wolniak. The patent to Wolniak shows a fishing tackle container as discussed above. Wolniak defines elongate shells having an interior surface which defines a cavity having a length. Wolniak does not disclose that the cavity has a length that is adequate to accept a portion of an ice fishing rod from the tip of the rod to the reel or line windup. At any rate, it would have been obvious to increase or decrease the length of the cavity to hold the type of fishing tackle desired to prevent an accidental discharge of the tackle at an inopportune time.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-18 and 23-43 allowed.
- 7. Claims 20-22 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed December 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Wolniak does not show that the tubes are capable of serving as legs that will stably support the apparatus on a surface. However, this interpretation is not thought to be correct since Wolniak shows the spacing structure in position in Figs. 1-2 and does not state that this structure will change when the entire tube display is removed. If the structure did change, the tubes would not be supported adequately and the reinsertion of the entire display would prove

Art Unit: 3643

to be problematical at best. Hence Wolniak shows the structure that is capable of performing the intended use.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is (571) 272-6893. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3643

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kurt Rowan Primary Examiner Art Unit 3643

KR